



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
PATENT EXAMINING OPERATION

In re Application of:  
Madhavan PISHARODI  
Serial No.: 10/804,895  
Filed: March 19, 2004  
For: **ROTATING, LOCKING,  
SPRING-LOADED  
ARTIFICIAL DISK**

§ Atty Docket No.: PISA,015  
§  
§  
§ Group Art Unit: 3738  
§  
§  
§  
§ Examiner: P. Prebilio  
§  
§

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS  
P. O. BOX 1450  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450

**CERTIFICATE OF MAILING (37 C.F.R. 1.8(a))**

I hereby certify that this paper (along with any paper referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being deposited with the United States Postal Service on the date indicated below with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner of Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313.

Mark R. Wisner, Registration No. 39,603

April 6, 2007  
Date

**RESPONSE TO OFFICIAL ACTION OF JANUARY 8, 2007**

Dear Sir:

Applicant responds to the Official Action mailed in the captioned application on January 8, 2007 in timely fashion as follows. In the event any check or authorization to charge credit card in the amount of any necessary fees was not properly executed, was not included with this Response and/or was insufficient in amount, or for any other reason this Response is not considered timely filed, request is hereby made for an extension of the time for the period necessary to ensure consideration of this Response and the Commissioner is authorized to charge Deposit Account No. 50-0965 (PISA,015) in the amount of any necessary fee.

The Official Action mailed January 8, 2007 attached a Form PTOL-324 Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 C.F.R. 1.121) noting that claims 21-27 of Applicant's Response to Official Action of June 7, 2006 were incorrectly identified as new claims even though they had been previously presented (specifically, they were added to the case in Applicant's Response to Official Action of June 29, 2005). So that the Examiner will be able to consider Applicant's previous Response without having to look back and forth between this submission and the previous Response, Applicant has simply reproduced Applicant's Response to Official Action of June 7, 2006 (correcting the erroneous indication of the status of claims 21-27) in this Response.